Supplemental Educational Services (SES) and Title I School Choice
FINAL Data Collection for the 2008-2009 School Year

Due to the Department August 28, 2009

District Name: Anchorage School District **Date:** 6/30/09

Title I School Choice

1.	Number of students eligible for Title I School Choice: *(All students attending Title I schools at Level 2 or above, as indicated on the October Count data collection.)*	3,175
2.	Number of students who **applied to transfer under Title I School Choice** provisions, regardless of whether or not they were able to be transferred: *(If all students who applied for Choice transfer were accommodated, this number will be the same as the response to question #3.)* [***Clarification**: Only 26 students applied to transfer under Title I School Choice provisions to start the 2008-09 school year. The other 22 students noted in the total (48) did not apply for School Choice to start 2008-09. These 22 students, however, did continue under School Choice because they were approved in a previous school year. As required by NCLB, once a student is approved under School Choice, s/he may continue to attend the receiving school through the highest grade it offers.*]	48
3.	Number of students (in Title I schools at Level 2 or above) who transferred **TO** another public school under Title I School Choice provisions:	48
4.	Number of students who transferred to another public school under Title I School Choice and were **still attending Choice School** at the end of the school year:	40
5.	Final transportation costs for Title I School Choice: *(Final amount paid for all students to transfer under Title I School Choice for the school year.)* [***Clarification**: Because the ASD integrates School Choice bus routes with its existing bus routes, no new bus routes were added in order to implement School Choice in 2008-09. Therefore, there was no cost to the Title I 20% Choice/SES set aside.*]	$0
6.	Number of Title I schools at Level 2 or above **from which students transferred** under Title I School Choice provisions: [***Clarification**: Seven Title I schools at Level 2 or above from which students transferred would not include Creekside Park Elementary, which made AYP for two consecutive years and, consequently, was at Level 0 status in 2008-09. Nine previously approved CSP students opted to continue with School Choice at the start of the 2008-09 school year (see 2 above), and six of these students will be eligible to continue under School Choice at the start of the 2009-10 school year.*]	7
7.	Number of public schools **to which students transferred** under Title I School Choice provisions:	9
8.	Check this box if the district is unable to offer Choice at any Title I School Improvement site in the district, as defined by 4AAC 06.855 [***Clarification:** Whaley offers SES in lieu of School Choice because Whaley is a unique program for which there are no alternatives available in the district.*]	X

Supplemental Educational Services - SES

9.	Number of students who were eligible for Supplemental Educational Services (SES): **NOTE:** Only students in Title I schools at Level 3 or above (or Level 2, if the school is rural and/or there are no options for Choice, as noted in 4AAC 06.855(b)) and who are low income, as indicated on the Title IA Site Eligibility Form, are eligible for SES. All of the students who meet these criteria in the district should be counted.	3281
10.	Total number of students **served under SES**: *(Those who signed up and for whom a contract was prepared with a provider, and who attended at least one session.)*	644
11.	Number of students who **requested SES but were not served**: *Explain below: (Give a brief explanation, i.e. student didn't make priority list, provider was unable to serve, etc.)*	87

A total of 731 economically disadvantaged students attending Title I SES schools applied for Supplemental Educational Services in 2008-09, and all 731 were approved by the ASD. Despite approving 100% of the applicants, 87 students did not attend at least one session. For reasons unknown to the ASD, 62 of the 87 students did not attend at least one session, despite applying and being approved. However, the SES providers authorized to serve these 62 students made at least three attempts to contact the parents of the students, but were unsuccessful in getting services underway. 24 of the 87 students either withdrew from the district or the SES school before services could get underway. One of the 87 students did not receive services because the first preferred provider was unable to meet the student's learning needs (the student did not speak English and the provider did not have a tutor who spoke the student's native language), and the student's family did not want services from an alternative provider.